

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,384	10/09/2003	Takashi Kamijo	032009	6397	
38834	7590 11/10/2005		EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			FINEMA	FINEMAN, LEE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

		- 177	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,,	
10/681,384	KAMIJO ET AL.		
Examiner 0x	Art Unit		
Lee Fineman	2872		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner Od	Art Unit				
Deloie die i mig et an Appea. 2.10.	Lee Fineman	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress			
UE DEDLY EU ED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check in MORE 708.07(9)						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 and the corresponding amount of the fee tatutory period for reply originally set in the ns after the mailing date of the final rejec	ne final Office action; or (2 tion, even if timely filed, m) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c	n, but prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will <u>not</u> be entered OTE below):	l because			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bell (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in because and/or	low); etter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifyir	ng the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	.121. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendme	nt (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a 	s): allowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amend	ment canceling			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed affected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	rovided below or appended.					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the am	dayle of other other.	,			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below of attached.						
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper Ne(s).						
13. Other:	MAR	(A. ROBINSON ARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues are at the least the proposed claim limitation directed to film being a monolayer.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed polarizer from the cited prior art.